

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,823	04/23/2001	Itsuo Watanabe	566.39636X00	9887	
20457	7590 05/17/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			CAIN, EDWARD J		
SUITE 1800	SEVENTEENTH STREE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON	I, VA 22209-3873		1714		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ ∼
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/762,823	WATANABE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Edward J. Cain	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte		i,
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5-9,11,12,14,16-20,22 and 26-34 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22 and 26-31 is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 5-9, 11, 12, 14, 16-20 and 31-3 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	e withdrawn from considerati		
Application Papers	,		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyant oction is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	1).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. Ints have been received in Apriority documents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 09/762,823

Art Unit: 1714

The amendment received 27 February 2006 has been made of record. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 12, 14, 16-20, 22 and 26-34 are pending.

Claims 22 and 26-31 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 12, 14, 16-20 and 31-34 are being examined.

The obviousness double patenting rejection over US 6,338,195 is withdrawn in view of applicants' arguments.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 12, 14, 16-20 and 31-34 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 6,328,844. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of reasons of record.

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11, 12, 14, 16-20 and 31-34 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 6,034,331. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of reasons of record.

These rejections are maintained since the patents contain claims directed to adhesives and adhesive sheets not seen as restricted in the previous office actions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Cain whose telephone number is (571) 272-1118. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 10:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 571 272-1119. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/762,823 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edward J. Cain Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714)